## COURT OF APPEAL STATE OF CALIFORNIA THIRD APPELLATE DISTRICT

#### The Minutes

#### September 9, 2002

C032494 NEC BUSINESS COMMUNICATION SYSTEMS (WEST), INC., et al. v.

**DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES et al.** 

GTE CALIFORNIA INC. v. DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES and PACIFIC BELL et al. (Not for Publication)

The petition for rehearing is denied.

Sims, Acting P.J.

We concur: Nicholson, J.

Hull, J.

## <u>September 10, 2002</u>

### C038772 In re JORGE C.; THE PEOPLE v. JORGE C.

(Not for Publication)

The matter is remanded to the juvenile court with directions to recalculate, in accordance with this opinion, the custody credits due the minor for previously sustained petitions upon which his maximum period of confinement was based and to amend its records accordingly and, if appropriate, forward amended copies of those records to the proper authorities.

DAVIS. J.

We concur: Scotland, P.J.

Robie, J.

### C038846 NUNES v. CITY OF FOSTER CITY and NIGHTINGALE

C039520 NUNES v. CITY OF FOSTER CITY (Not for Publication)

THE COURT:

The opinion of this court filed August 13, 2002, in the above entitled case is modified as follows:...As modified, the petition for rehearing is denied. There is no change in the judgment.

BY THE COURT:

Blease, Acting P.J.

Davis, J.

Kolkey, J.

#### **September 11, 2002**

### C038776 THE PEOPLE v. BRUMMETT (Not for Publication)

Defendant's presentence custody credit is modified to reflect 574 days of actual custody credit pursuant to section 2900.5, and 86 days of conduct credit pursuant to section 2933.1. In all other respects, the judgment is affirmed. The trial court is ordered to correct the abstract of judgment accordingly and to forward a certified copy to the Department of Corrections.

ROBIE, J.

We concur: Scotland, P.J.

Hull, J.

## COURT OF APPEAL STATE OF CALIFORNIA THIRD APPELLATE DISTRICT

#### The Minutes

#### **September 12, 2002**

C038788 THE PEOPLE v. BAIRD

(Not for Publication)

The judgment is affirmed.

RAYE, J.

We concur: Scotland, P.J.

Robie, J.

## September 13, 2002

#### MISC. ORDER

2002-11

Associate Justice Coleman A. Blease is appointed to serve as Acting Presiding Justice to discharge the duties described in the California Rules of Court, rules 75, 76, 77 and 78, during the absence of the Presiding Justice from the court on September 18, 2002, or until his return. (See Cal. Const. Art 6, Sec. 3.) The senior member of a panel of the Court is designated the Acting Presiding Justice of that panel during the absence of the Presiding Justice.

SCOTLAND, P.J.

#### MISC. ORDER

2002-12

Associate Justice Coleman A. Blease is appointed to serve as Acting Presiding Justice to discharge the duties described in the California Rules of Court, rules 75, 76, 77 and 78, during the absence of the Presiding Justice from the court on September 16, 2002, or until his return. (See Cal. Const. Art 6, Sec. 3.) The senior member of a panel of the Court is designated the Acting Presiding Justice of that panel during the absence of the Presiding Justice.

SCOTLAND, P.J.

#### C040976 THE PEOPLE v. MAGNESS

(Not for Publication)

The judgment is modified to impose sex offender fines of \$800 plus penalty assessments. The trial court is directed to prepare an amended abstract of judgment reflecting this modification and send a certified copy of the amended abstract of judgment to the Department of Corrections. As modified, the judgment is affirmed.

CALLAHAN, J.

We concur: Scotland, P.J.

Nicholson, J.

#### C040952 THE PEOPLE v. RANSLOW

(Not for Publication)

The judgment is affirmed. The trial court is directed to amend the abstract of judgment to reflect that defendant was convicted of violating Health and Safety Code section 11379, subdivision (a), and to forward a certified copy of the amended abstract to the Department of Corrections.

NICHOLSON, J.

We concur: Scotland, P.J.

Callahan, J.

# COURT OF APPEAL STATE OF CALIFORNIA THIRD APPELLATE DISTRICT

## The Minutes

## September 13, 2002, continued

C038927 AMEZQUITA v. ARCHULETA (Certified for Publication)

The trial court's order is reversed as to modification of the New Mexico support order. To the extent the order directs Husband to pay on the arrearage under the New Mexico order, the current order is affirmed. The parties shall bear their own costs on appeal. (CERTIFIED FOR PUBLICATION.)

NICHOLSON, Acting P.J.

We concur: Morrison, J.

Hull, J.